

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/623,569 Examiner ANISH DESAI	Applicant(s) MIYANO ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Art Unit 1794</td> <td style="width: 20%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1794	
Art Unit 1794				

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____
 (1) ANISH DESAI. (3) _____.
 (2) Ms. Keiko Takagi (Attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008 **Time:** 9:45

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
9 and 15-17

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed minor amendments need to be made to claims 9 and 15-17 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Ms. Takagi gave the Examiner permission for the amendment. Further it was discussed that no amendment would be necessary for claim 17.